

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,476	02/06/2004		Hubert Beck	4452-589	7050
27799	7590	11/03/2005		EXAM	IINER
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE				SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P	
551 FIFTH A	VENUE			ADTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
SUITE 1210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10176				3683	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,476	BECK, HUBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Schwartz	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oct This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/773,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/11/05 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1,4-11 are pending in the application. Claims 2,3 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1,4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duckett in view of Gladieux.

Regarding claim 1 Duckett discloses a device similar to applicants. Note the equalization space provided by the envelope at 18.

Lacking is the connecting element provided in the rod guide 4 and a showing of the particular structure of the connecting element and snap ring.

However note the fill hole at 29 and the gas inlet, or connecting element, at 31.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,476

Art Unit: 3683

As discussed previously such couplings are notoriously well known in the art for easy assembly and removal.

One having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to have modified the device of Duckett so that a connecting element could be provided in the rod guide 4 to connect the envelope 18 to a flow connection, as such a modification would amount to an obvious alternative connection arrangement to that of Duckett dependent upon the particular vehicle undercarriage or desired suspension arrangement.

The reference to Gladieux is relied upon to show one such well known coupling arrangement. Please see figures 2-6.

To have provided such a coupling in the device of Duckett would merely amount to an alternative equivalent type of coupling to connecting element shown by Duckett, but as modified above.

The limitations of claims 4,5,8 are considered to merely be an alternative arrangement to that of Duckett, as modified by Gladieux.

Regarding claim 6 to have provided a bushing, as claimed, in the device of Duckett as modified by Gladieux would have been obvious dependent upon the particular type of coupling arrangement selected. Note the support surface (not labeled) for the ring 40 in Gladieux on the coupling, considered to function the same as applicant's bushing. Also, because such couplings are notoriously well known in the art it would have been obvious simply to have used an alternate equivalent type of coupling

Application/Control Number: 10/773,476

Art Unit: 3683

comprising a bushing dependent upon such well known factors as cost, reliability and sealing capabilities. Please see the references cited, but not applied.

Regarding claim 7 note the seal at 70 in Gladieux.

The limitations of claims 9- 11 are considered to be met since the references as combined above would meet the claimed limitations.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The positions outlined in the previous Office Action under this section are maintained. The conclusions of obviousness maintained by the examiner are largely substantiated by the prior art of record in the file. Applicant's coupling arrangement is maintained to be an obvious alternative equivalent coupling arrangement to what is known and fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Please see paragraph "6" of the prior Office Action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 571-272-7123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 10/31/05